

Growing Neighborhood Instability

East New York is a neighborhood destabilized by poverty. In 2013, 29% of residents lived below the Federal Poverty Level.³ But as seen in the preceding map, the distribution of this poverty was not even. Nearly 60% of New York residents lived in poverty in neighborhoods such as Linden Park in the western part of East New York, bordered to the north by Belmont Avenue and to the south by Dumont Avenue (Census Tract 1156). Yet the share of residents living in poverty was only 6% in the blocks near Spring Creek Park bordered by Flatlands Avenue to the south and Wortman Avenue to the north (Census Tract 1078).⁴ Poverty and

At the same time as affordable housing declined, rental unit overcrowding—often a proxy for homeless families doubled up with friends or family, and the most frequent living situation of families prior to entering shelter—almost tripled, rising from 6% in 2005 to 16% in 2013.


economic instability vary block by block, but a growing number of residents throughout East New York are feeling this pressure as the prospect of redevelopment grows and the number of existing affordable rental units declines.

In 2005, East New York had considerable affordable housing, with 71% of units renting for below \$1,000 and a median rent of \$749.⁵ But neighborhood median rent rose by

close to 50% between 2005 and 2013, and the neighborhood lost over 10,000 units renting below \$1,000 per month.⁶ By 2013 only 39% of units in East New York rented for below \$1,000 per month (Figure 1). In contrast, the median household income in the neighborhood increased just 20% in the same time frame, with the median family earning merely \$36,943 in 2013.


At the same time as affordable housing declined, rental unit overcrowding—often a proxy for homeless families doubled up with friends or family, and the most frequent living situation prior to entering shelter⁷—almost tripled, rising from 6% in 2005 to 16% in 2013 (Figure 2).⁸ This overcrowding is concentrated within a half-mile of the planned East New York redevelopment zone, where 20% of rental units were overcrowded compared to only 9% of units beyond a half-mile (Figure 4). The severity and intensity of overcrowding throughout East New York has increased as well—

FIGURE 2
Share of Rentals Below \$1,000 and Share of Overcrowded Units
2005–2013



Note: Housing is considered overcrowded when there is an average of more than one person per room in the unit, not including bathrooms or most kitchens. Affordable refers to units renting for under \$1,000 per month. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey 1-year Estimates, 2005–2013.

FIGURE 3
Share of Overcrowding and Severe Overcrowding
2005–2013



Note: Housing is considered overcrowded when there is an average of more than one person per room in the unit, not including bathrooms or most kitchens and is considered severely overcrowded when there is an average of more than 1.5 people per room. Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2005–2013 American Community Survey 1-year Estimates, 2005–2013.

FIGURE 4

Share of Families in Poverty and Student Homelessness in East New York

- East New York
- 1/2 mile from redevelopment zone
- ▨ Redevelopment zone


Number of Homeless Students

SY 2013–2014

- 10–19
- 20–29
- 30–61
- 62–111
- 112–194

Percent in Poverty

2009–2015 5-year Estimate



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2013 American Community Survey 5-year Estimate; New York City Department of City Planning; New York City Department of Education, unpublished data tabulated by the Institute for Children, Poverty, and Homelessness.

New York City shelters took in more families from East New York than from any other neighborhood.

many bedrooms are crammed with two or three more occupants than intended, and living rooms sleep entire families. The percentage of severely overcrowded

rental units has increased fivefold from less than 1% in 2005 to nearly 5% in 2013 (Figure 3).⁹ These trends highlight the growing financial strain and housing instability faced by East New York residents.

Amidst this financial and housing pressure, more families are turning to the City’s shelter system. Family shelter entry increased by 24% between FY 2005 and FY 2014, with 564 East New York families moving into shelter in FY 2014 and over 5,000 families entering the shelter system since 2005.¹⁰ In FY 2014 alone and over the cumulative ten-year period from FY 2005 to FY 2014, New York City shelters took in more families from East New York than from any other neighborhood. The extent of housing instability in the neighborhood is even greater than the shelter numbers show—neighborhood school data reveal that over 2,800 homeless students attended schools in District 19 in SY 2013–14, which serves East New York (Figure 4).¹¹ This means that 11% of the neighborhood’s public school students are homeless—a higher rate than the New York City average (8%).¹² Within the universe of homeless students, 46%, or over 1,300 students, live doubled up with another family due to loss of housing or economic hardship.¹³

Poverty and Homelessness in the Proposed Redevelopment Area

East New York is one of the first areas designated by the City as a neighborhood to be rezoned and redeveloped as part of the de Blasio administration’s affordable housing plan.¹⁴ The redevelopment zone includes the northern region of East New York, with Fulton Street as the northern border and Pitkin Avenue as the southern border.¹⁵ Just 10% of new housing units planned for this redevelopment zone will be made affordable for those earning 30% of AMI or less.¹⁶ Based on the income of families living in East New York, however, these units would still be unaffordable for 36% of all the neighborhood’s families.¹⁷ As of April 2016, the plan guarantees only about 150 units for the almost 19,000 East New York households earning below 30% of AMI.¹⁸

In addition to the unaffordability of the planned “affordable” units to over one-third of East New York families, the location of the redevelopment zone and the likely effects of gentrification call into question the impact of redevelopment on the neighborhood’s children, who are most at risk for housing instability and homelessness. Within the half-mile around the redevelopment zone in East New York, one in four families with children lives in poverty, including roughly 800 single mothers with children under five (Figure 4). Children, particularly those under age five living in poverty in a single-parent household, are at the greatest risk of homelessness. With so many vulnerable children in the neighborhood, there is a strong need for services, including affordable childcare and job training, to increase economic stability and social support.

Jeopardizing or Creating a Future?

East New York faces instability driven by a rapid loss of affordable housing, dramatic increase in overcrowding, concentrated poverty, and a large number

...just 150 or 11% of the 1,310 units planned for East New York will go to the 19,000 families with the greatest risk of becoming homeless.

East New York’s families would not be able to afford the new affordable units, and even fewer would likely be able to pay for the market rate apartments. Redevelopment that does not take into account affordability in the neighborhood, the gap between the number of lower-cost units and families who need those units, or the service needs for residents of the neighborhood could contribute to further destabilization of the community. This would place more children and families at risk for homelessness and entering shelter. As East New York has been the primary feeder of families into the City shelter system, it should be a primary beneficiary of

of families entering shelter. The City plans to develop new housing units, but how those units will impact the already unstable housing market will depend on the units’ prices. Current projections show that 36% of

Mayor de Blasio’s affordable housing plan. However, that will not likely be the case: just 150 or 11% of the 1,310 units planned for East New York will go to the 19,000 families with the greatest risk of becoming homeless.

With current affordable units in decline, the number of overcrowded and doubled-up units increasing an average 23% per year, and a homeless student population that is 37% higher than the citywide average, is the administration aware and equipped to deal with the reality of families on the edge in East New York? Why does this community appear to be getting shortchanged on the allocation of new affordable units for its neediest residents, and does the same fate await other poor communities where affordable units are planned? Is the purpose of redevelopment to help maintain the current community or to replace it with more affluent transplants? These difficult questions merit serious discussion; East New York may serve as an early barometer of the impact that the de Blasio administration’s affordable housing plan may have on roughly two dozen communities and untold numbers of families citywide.

1 Citizens’ Committee for Children of New York, *Keeping Track Database*, FY 2005–FY 2014.

2 David J. Goodman, “New York Passes Rules to Blunt Gentrification,” *New York Times*, March 22, 2016, <http://www.nytimes.com/2016/03/23/nyregion/new-york-council-passes-zoning-changes-de-blasio-sought.html>, accessed March 29, 2016; “Text of Mayor de Blasio’s State of the City Address,” *New York Times*, February 3, 2015, <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/02/04/nyregion/new-york-mayor-bill-de-blasios-state-of-the-city-address.html>, accessed March 29, 2016.

3 U.S. Census Bureau, *2013 American Community Survey 1-year Estimates*.

4 U.S. Census Bureau, *2013 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates*.

5 U.S. Census Bureau, *2005 and 2013 American Community Survey 1-year Estimates*.

6 Ibid.

7 The Institute for Children, Poverty, and Homelessness, *The Invisible Majority: Doubled-up Students in New York City Public Schools*, May 2015.

8 Ibid.

9 Ibid.

10 Citizens’ Committee for Children of New York, *Keeping Track Database*, FY 2005–FY 2014.

11 The Institute for Children, Poverty, and Homelessness, *The Atlas of Student Homelessness in New York City*, August 2015.

12 Ibid.

13 Ibid.

14 “Text of Mayor de Blasio’s State of the City Address,” *New York Times*, February 3, 2015, <http://www.nytimes.com/2015/02/04/nyregion/new-york-mayor-bill-de-blasios-state-of-the-city-address.html>, accessed March 29, 2016.

15 New York City Council, “East New York: Final Zoning Modifications,” <http://labs.council.nyc/land-use/neighborhood-plans/east-new-york/resources/East-New-York-City-Council-Modifications.pdf>, accessed 9 May 2016.

16 New York City Council, “East New York Plan – Summary,” <http://labs.council.nyc/land-use/neighborhood-plans/east-new-york/resources/East-New-York-plan-summary.pdf>, accessed 9 May 2016.

17 U.S. Census Bureau, *2013 American Community Survey 1-year Estimates*; U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, *FY 2013 Income Limits Documentation System*. 30% of Area Median Income (AMI) for a family of three was \$23,200 in 2013. Figure refers to the 30% of households in East New York that earned under \$25,000 in 2013.

18 New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development, “East New York Housing Plan,” September 21, 2015. Accessed 9 May 2016, <https://www1.nyc.gov/assets/hpd/downloads/pdf/East-New-York-housing-plan.pdf>.

ICPH Institute for Children, Poverty & Homelessness

www.ICPHusa.org

ICPH is an independent, New York City-based public policy organization that works on the issues of poverty and family homelessness.

Ralph da Costa Nunez, PhD
President and CEO

Jennifer Erb-Downward
Principal Policy Analyst

Kaitlin Greer
Policy Analyst

Navjot Kaur
GIS Analyst

Linda Bazerjian
Director of External Affairs
For questions or additional copies contact:
LBazerjian@ICPHusa.org
212-358-8086

© 2016, The Institute for Children, Poverty, and Homelessness